LAPTH

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique

Interplay between Zamolodchikov-Faddeev and Reflection-Transmission algebras

M. Mintchev[a][*] and E. Ragoucy[b][†]

[a] *INFN and Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá di Pisa, Via Buonarroti 2, 56127 Pisa, Italy*

[b] *LAPTH, 9, Chemin de Bellevue, BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux cedex, France*

Abstract

We show that a suitable coset algebra, constructed in terms of an extension of the Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebra, is homomorphic to the Reflection-Transmission algebra, as it appears in the study of integrable systems with impurity.

[*]mintchev@df.unipi.it
[†]ragoucy@lapp.in2p3.fr

1 Introduction

The Zamolodchikov-Faddeev (ZF) algebra [1, 2] is well-known to be the basis of factorized scattering theory in integrable models on the line. The two-body scattering, which is the only particle interaction present in this case, is implemented by a quadratic constraint among the particle creation and annihilation operators. There exist various attempts [3]-[10] to generalize factorized scattering theory to the case when point-like impurities, preserving integrability, are present as well. In this context, the relevant algebraic structure emerging recently [11, 12], is the so-called reflection-transmission (RT) algebra. Besides the two-body scattering in the bulk, the RT algebra captures also the particle interaction with the impurity. From the physical analysis performed in [11], it follows that the particle-impurity interactions are implemented by further constraints, ensuring the compatibility between bulk scattering and the process of reflection/transmission from the impurity. This feature suggest the existence of an algebraic connection among ZF and RT algebras. It turns out in fact that taking the coset of the ZF algebra with respect to a suitable two-sided ideal, one obtains an algebra homomorphic to the RT algebra. The explicit realization of this relationship is the main goal of this investigation. Our analysis extends the results of [13, 14], obtained in the case of pure reflection. Establishing the link between ZF and RT algebras, we take the occasion to discuss also the issue of symmetries when impurities are present.

2 Background

We collect here some definitions and basic results concerning ZF and RT algebras, which are needed in what follows. Adopting throughout the paper the compact tensor notation, introduced in [15], we start by:

Definition 2.1 (ZF algebra $\mathcal{A}_S$)
$\mathcal{A}_S$ is the polynomial algebra generated by a unit element $\mathbf{1}$ and the generators $a(k)$ and $a^\dagger(k)$, subject to the constraints:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_1(k_1)a_2^\dagger(k_2) &= a_2^\dagger(k_2)S_{12}(k_1,k_2)a_1(k_1) + \delta_{12}\delta(k_1-k_2)\mathbf{1} && (2.1)\\
a_1(k_1)a_2(k_2) &= S_{21}(k_2,k_1)a_2(k_2)a_1(k_1) && (2.2)\\
a_1^\dagger(k_1)a_2^\dagger(k_2) &= a_2^\dagger(k_2)a_1^\dagger(k_1)S_{21}(k_2,k_1) && (2.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here and below the S-matrix obeys the following well-known relations (Yang-Baxter equation and unitarity):

$$
S_{12}(k_1,k_2)\,S_{13}(k_1,k_3)\,S_{23}(k_2,k_3) = S_{23}(k_2,k_3)\,S_{13}(k_1,k_3)\,S_{12}(k_1,k_2) \quad (2.4)
$$
$$
S_{12}(k_1,k_2)\,S_{21}(k_2,k_1) = \mathbb{I}\otimes\mathbb{I} \quad (2.5)
$$

We emphasize that S depends in general on χ_1 and χ_2, observing in addition that bulk S-matrices depending on $\chi_1-\chi_2$ only are also covered by our framework, which

therefore allows to treat both systems with exact as well as broken Lorentz (Galilean) invariance. More details about the physical meaning of this generalization are given in [12].

We shall employ below the extension $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$ of $\mathcal{A}_S$, involving power series in $a(k)$ and $a^\dagger(k)$ whose individual terms preserve the particle number. The concept of extended ZF (EZF) algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$ is relevant for proving [15] the following:

Proposition 2.2 (Well-bred operators)
Each $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$ contains an invertible element $L(k)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_1(k_1)a_2(k_2) &= S_{21}(k_2, k_1)a_2(k_2)L_1(k_1) & (2.6)\\
L_1(k_1)a_2^\dagger(k_2) &= a_2^\dagger(k_2)S_{12}(k_1, k_2)L_1(k_1) & (2.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $L(k)$ obeys

$$
S_{12}(k_1, k_2)L_1(k_1)L_2(k_2) = L_2(k_2)L_1(k_1)S_{12}(k_1, k_2) \qquad (2.8)
$$

and generates a quantum group $\mathcal{U}_S \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$, with Hopf structure $\Delta L(k) = L(k) \otimes L(k)$. $L(k)$ also satisfies $L(k)^\dagger = L(k)^{-1}$.

$L(k)$, called well-bred operator, is explicitly constructed in [15] and admits a series representation in terms of $a(k)$ and $a^\dagger(k)$.

We turn now to RT algebras [12].

Definition 2.3 (RT algebra $\mathcal{C}_S$)
A RT algebra is generated by $\mathbf{1}$ and the generators $A(k)$, $A^\dagger(k)$, $t(k)$ and $r(k)$ obeying:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_1(k_1)A_2(k_2) &= S_{21}(k_2, k_1)A_2(k_2)A_1(k_1) & (2.9)\\
A_1^\dagger(k_1)A_2^\dagger(k_2) &= A_2^\dagger(k_2)A_1^\dagger(k_1)S_{21}(k_2, k_1) & (2.10)\\
A_1(k_1)A_2^\dagger(k_2) &= A_2^\dagger(k_2)S_{12}(k_1, k_2)A_1(k_1) + \delta(k_1 - k_2)\delta_{12}\Big(\mathbf{1} + t_1(k_1)\Big) & \\
&\quad + \delta_{12}r_1(k_1)\delta(k_1 + k_2) & (2.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_1(k_1)t_2(k_2) &= S_{21}(k_2, k_1)t_2(k_2)S_{12}(k_1, k_2)A_1(k_1) & (2.12)\\
A_1(k_1)r_2(k_2) &= S_{21}(k_2, k_1)r_2(k_2)S_{12}(k_1, -k_2)A_1(k_1) & (2.13)\\
t_1(k_1)A_2^\dagger(k_2) &= A_2^\dagger(k_2)S_{12}(k_1, k_2)t_1(k_1)S_{21}(k_2, k_1) & (2.14)\\
r_1(k_1)A_2^\dagger(k_2) &= A_2^\dagger(k_2)S_{12}(k_1, k_2)r_1(k_1)S_{21}(k_2, -k_1) & (2.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{12}(k_1, k_2)\, t_1(k_1)\, S_{21}(k_2, k_1)\, t_2(k_2) &= t_2(k_2)\, S_{12}(k_1, k_2)\, t_1(k_1)\, S_{21}(k_2, k_1) & (2.16)\\
S_{12}(k_1, k_2)\, t_1(k_1)\, S_{21}(k_2, k_1)\, r_2(k_2) &= r_2(k_2)\, S_{12}(k_1, -k_2)\, t_1(k_1)\, S_{21}(-k_2, k_1) & (2.17)\\
S_{12}(k_1, k_2)\, r_1(k_1)\, S_{21}(k_2, -k_1)\, r_2(k_2) &= r_2(k_2)\, S_{12}(k_1, -k_2)\, r_1(k_1)\, S_{21}(-k_2, -k_1) & (2.18)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r(k)$ and $t(k)$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(k)t(k) + r(k)r(-k) &= \mathbf{1} & (2.19)\\
t(k)r(k) + r(k)t(-k) &= 0 & (2.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

We refer to $r(k)$ and $t(k)$ as reflection and transmission generators.

A special case of RT algebra is the boundary algebra $\mathcal{B}_S$ [16] defined as the coset of $\mathcal{C}_S$ by the relation $t(k) = 0$. More precisely, one defines the left ideal $\mathcal{I}_S = \mathcal{C}_S.\{t(k)\}$. From the above relations, this left ideal is obviously a two-sided ideal, so that the coset $\mathcal{C}_S/\mathcal{I}_S$ is an algebra: it is the boundary algebra $\mathcal{B}_S$, whose defining relations are given by eqs. (2.9)–(2.19) with $t(k) = 0$.

Note that the same construction applies when $r(k) = 0$, leading to an algebra associated to a purely transmitting impurity [17].

Note also that the generators $r(k)$ and $t(k)$ form a subalgebra $\mathcal{K}_S \subset \mathcal{C}_S$. This subalgebra contains itself two subalgebras. The subalgebra $\mathcal{R}_S \subset \mathcal{K}_S$, generated by $r(k)$, appears already in [18] and is called reflection algebra. In the same way, $t(k)$ generates a subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_S \subset \mathcal{K}_S$, called in analogy transmission algebra.

3   From $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$ to $\mathcal{C}_S$

Let $R(k)$ and $T(k)$ be any two matrix functions satisfying

$$R^\dagger(k) \ = \ R(-k) \,, \qquad T^\dagger(k) = T(k) \tag{3.1}$$

$$T(k)\,T(k) + R(k)\,R(-k) = \mathbb{I} \tag{3.2}$$

and the boundary Yang-Baxter equation

$$S_{12}(k_1, k_2)\,R_1(k_1)\,S_{21}(k_2, -k_1)\,R_2(k_2) \ = \ R_2(k_2)\,S_{12}(k_1, -k_2)\,R_1(k_1)\,S_{21}(-k_2, -k_1) \tag{3.3}$$

It has been shown in [12] that $R(k)$ and $T(k)$ obey in addition the *transmission* and *reflection-transmission* Yang-Baxter equations

$$S_{12}(k_1, k_2)\,T_1(k_1)\,S_{21}(k_2, k_1)\,T_2(k_2) \ = \ T_2(k_2)\,S_{12}(k_1, k_2)\,T_1(k_1)\,S_{21}(k_2, k_1) \tag{3.4}$$

$$S_{12}(k_1, k_2)\,T_1(k_1)\,S_{21}(k_2, k_1)\,R_2(k_2) \ = \ R_2(k_2)\,S_{12}(k_1, -k_2)\,T_1(k_1)\,S_{21}(-k_2, k_1) \tag{3.5}$$

together with

$$T(k)R(k) + R(k)T(-k) = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

This remarkable property of the pair $\{R(k),\,T(k)\}$ was discovered in [12] and is fundamental in what follows. In fact, by a direct calculation, starting from the EZF algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$, one proves:

Proposition 3.1 *Let*

$$t(k) \ = \ L(k)T(k)L^{-1}(k) \tag{3.7}$$
$$r(k) \ = \ L(k)R(k)L^{-1}(-k) \tag{3.8}$$

where $L(k)$ is the well-bred operator of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$ and $R(k)$ and $T(k)$ are defined above. Then, $a(k)$, $a^\dagger(k)$, $t(k)$ and $r(k)$ obey the relations (2.12)–(2.20).

Proposition 3.2 *The map*

$$\rho \begin{cases} a(k) & \to & \alpha(k) = t(k)a(k) + r(k)a(-k) \\ a^\dagger(k) & \to & \alpha^\dagger(k) = a^\dagger(k)t(k) + a^\dagger(-k)r(-k) \end{cases} \qquad (3.9)$$

extends to a homomorphism on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$.

Proof: Direct calculation using the commutation relations of the ZF algebra and the equations obeyed by $R(k)$ and $T(k)$. ∎

Let us remark that we have the identities

$$a(k) = t(k)\alpha(k) + r(k)\alpha(-k) \qquad (3.10)$$
$$a^\dagger(k) = \alpha^\dagger(k)t(k) + \alpha^\dagger(-k)r(-k) \qquad (3.11)$$

However, since $t(k)$ and $r(k)$ are still expressed in terms of $a(k)$ and $a^\dagger(k)$, these are not really "inversion formulas".

Note that $\alpha(k)$, $\alpha^\dagger(k)$, $t(k)$ and $r(k)$ also obey the relations (2.12)–(2.20).

The homomorphism ρ is essential for constructing an RT algebra from EZF. Indeed, we have:

Theorem 3.3 *Let*

$$A(k) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(a(k) + \alpha(k)\Big) = \frac{1}{2}\Big([1 + t(k)]a(k) + r(k)a(-k)\Big) \qquad (3.12)$$
$$A^\dagger(k) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(a^\dagger(k) + \alpha^\dagger(k)\Big) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(a^\dagger(k)[1 + t(k)] + a^\dagger(-k)r(-k)\Big) \qquad (3.13)$$

Then $A(k)$, $A^\dagger(k)$, $t(k)$ *and* $r(k)$ *form a RT algebra.*

Proof: Direct calculation ∎

Note, *en passant*, that if one imposes on $R(k)$ the additional relation $R(k)R(-k) = \mathbb{I}$, one gets $T(k) = 0$ and one recovers the construction [13] of the boundary algebra $\mathcal{B}_S$. Let us also stress that ρ is <u>not</u> identity on the algebra generated by $A(k)$, $A^\dagger(k)$, $t(k)$ and $r(k)$. However, we have:

Theorem 3.4 *The coset of* $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$ *by the relation* $\rho = id$ *is a RT algebra.*

Proof: By coset by the relation $\rho = id$, we mean the coset by the two-sided ideal generated by $Im(\rho - id)$: this coset is obviously an algebra. $A(k)$ and $A^\dagger(k)$ defined in theorem 3.3 can be taken as representatives of a generating system for the coset which is thus homomorphic to the RT algebra. ∎

To conclude this section, let us remark that, in the EZF algebra, one has the identities:

$$A(k) = t(k)A(k) + r(k)A(-k) \qquad (3.14)$$
$$A^\dagger(k) = A^\dagger(k)t(k) + A^\dagger(-k)r(-k) \qquad (3.15)$$

These identities show that for the RT algebra constructed in theorem 3.3, the reflection-transmission automorphism (as it was introduced in [12]) is indeed the identity, in agreement with theorem 3.4. However, this automorphism (defined only on the RT algebra) has not to be confused with the above homomorphism ρ, defined on the whole EZF algebra.

4   Hamiltonians and their symmetries

It is known that one can associate to any ZF algebra a natural hierarchy of Hamiltonians

$$H_{ZF}^{(n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \, k^n \, a^\dagger(k)a(k), \; n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \tag{4.1}$$

They obey to $[H_{ZF}^{(n)}, H_{ZF}^{(m)}] = 0$ (so that they can indeed be considered as Hamiltonians) and admit as symmetry algebra the quantum group generated by the well-bred operators:

$$[H_{ZF}^{(n)}, L(k)] = 0, \; \forall n \tag{4.2}$$

Note that we have the identity

$$H_{ZF}^{(2n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \, k^{2n} \, a^\dagger(k)a(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \, k^{2n} \, \alpha^\dagger(k)\alpha(k) \tag{4.3}$$

which shows that $\rho(H_{ZF}^{(2n)}) = H_{ZF}^{(2n)}$, so that $H_{ZF}^{(2n)}$ survives the coset of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$ by $\rho = id$.

In a similar way, for any RT algebra, we introduce

$$H_{RT}^{(n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \, k^n \, A^\dagger(k)A(k) \tag{4.4}$$

These Hamiltonians can be viewed as the representative of $H_{ZF}^{(n)}$ in the coset algebra of theorem 3.4. They obey to the following relations:

$$[H_{RT}^{(m)}, H_{RT}^{(n)}] = [(-1)^m - (-1)^n] \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \, k^{m+n} \, A^\dagger(k)r(k)A(-k), \tag{4.5}$$

It shows that Hamiltonians of the same parity form a commutative algebra, and thus define a hierarchy corresponding to the integrable systems with impurity, in accordance with eq. (4.3). They act on $A(k)$ and $A^\dagger(k)$ as

$$[H_{RT}^{(n)}, A(k)] = -k^n \left([\mathbb{I} + t(k)]A(k) + (-1)^n r(k)A(-k) \right) \tag{4.6}$$

$$[H_{RT}^{(n)}, A^\dagger(k)] = k^n \left(A^\dagger(k)[\mathbb{I} + t(k)] + (-1)^n A^\dagger(-k)r(-k) \right) \tag{4.7}$$

Then, by a direct calculation and *without using the embedding* $\mathcal{C}_S \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$, one proves:

Proposition 4.1 *The subalgebra $\mathcal{K}_S$ is a symmetry algebra of the hierarchy $H_{RT}^{(n)}$:*

$$[H_{RT}^{(n)}, t(k)] = 0 \quad and \quad [H_{RT}^{(n)}, r(k)] = 0 \tag{4.8}$$

This result provides an universal model-independent description of the symmetry content of the hierarchy $H_{RT}^{(n)}$. It is quite remarkable that $r(k)$ and $t(k)$ encode both the particle-impurity interactions and the quantum integrals of motion. This property of $\mathcal{K}_S$ has been already applied [19] with success for studying the symmetries of the $gl(N)$-invariant nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half line.

Finally, using the embedding of the RT algebra into $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$, one shows:

Proposition 4.2 *When considering the embedding $\mathcal{C}_S \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}_S$, the subalgebra $\mathcal{K}_S$ becomes a Hopf coideal of the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_S$, i.e. in algebraic terms one has $\mathcal{K}_S \subset \mathcal{U}_S$ and*

$$\Delta(\mathcal{K}_S) \subset \mathcal{U}_S \otimes \mathcal{K}_S \tag{4.9}$$

where Δ is the the coproduct of $\mathcal{U}_S$.

<u>Proof:</u> The construction (3.7) and (3.8) ensures the algebra embedding. Using the coproduct of $\mathcal{U}_S$, one gets

$$\Delta[t_{ab}(k)] = \sum_{x,y} L_{ax}(k) L_{yb}^{-1}(k) \otimes t_{xy}(k) \tag{4.10}$$

$$\Delta[r_{ab}(k)] = \sum_{x,y} L_{ax}(k) L_{yb}^{-1}(-k) \otimes r_{xy}(k) \tag{4.11}$$

∎

Let us observe in conclusion that there is a simple relation between the hierarchies with and without impurity, which reads

$$H_{RT}^{(n)} = H_{ZF}^{(n)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk\, k^n\, a^{\dagger}(k) \Big(r(k)a(-k) + t(k)a(k) \Big) \tag{4.12}$$

Eq. (4.12) generalizes the result of [14] for the boundary algebra $\mathcal{B}_S$.

5 Conclusions

The results of this paper clarify the connection between EZF and RT algebras. We have shown above that a suitable coset algebra, constructed in terms of the EZF algebra, is homomorphic to the RT algebra. This feature provides a precise mathematical meaning of the physical observation [11, 12] that the introduction of an impurity, preserving the integrability of a system, is equivalent to imposing some supplementary constraints on the system. The latter implement the consistency between the scattering in the bulk and the interaction with the impurity.

References

[1] A. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Factorized S-matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field theory models,* Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **120** (1979) 253.

[2] L. D. Faddeev, *Quantum completely integrable models in field theory,* Sov. Sci. Rev. **C1** (1980) 107.

[3] I. V. Cherednik, *Factorizing particles on a half line and root systems,* Theor. Math. Phys. **61** (1984) 977 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **61** (1984) 35].

[4] S. Ghoshal and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Boundary S-Matrix and Boundary State in Two-Dimensional Integrable Quantum Field Theory,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9** (1994) 3841 [Erratum, ibid. A **9** (1994) 4353], `hep-th/9306002`.

[5] A. Fring and R. Koberle, *Factorized Scattering in the Presence of Reflecting Boundaries,* Nucl. Phys. B **421** (1994) 159, `hep-th/9304141`.

[6] E. Corrigan, P. E. Dorey and R. H. Rietdijk, *Aspects of affine Toda field theory on a half line,* Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **118** (1995) 143, `hep-th/9407148`.

[7] G. Delfino, G. Mussardo and P. Simonetti, *Scattering Theory and Correlation Functions in Statistical Models with a Line of Defect,* Nucl. Phys. **B432** (1994) 518, `hep-th/9409076`.

[8] R. Konik and A. LeClair, *Purely Transmitting Defect Field Theories,* Nucl. Phys. **B538** (1999) 587, `hep-th/9703085`.

[9] O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, A. Fring and F. Gohmann, *On the absence of simultaneous reflection and transmission in integrable impurity systems,* `hep-th/0201142`.

[10] O. Castro-Alvaredo and A. Fring, *From integrability to conductance, impurity systems,* Nucl. Phys. **B649** (2003) 449, `hep-th/0205076`.

[11] M. Mintchev, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, *Scattering in the Presence of a Reflecting and Transmitting Impurity,* Phys. Lett. **B547** (2002) 313, `hep-th/0209052`.

[12] M. Mintchev, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, *Reflection-Transmission Algebras,* `hep-th/0303187`.

[13] E. Ragoucy, *Vertex operators for boundary algebras,* Lett. Math. Phys. **58** (2001) 249, `math.QA/0108221`.

[14] E. Ragoucy, *Quantum group symmetry of integrable systems with or without boundary,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A17** (2002) 3649, `math.QA/0202095`.

[15] E. Ragoucy, *Vertex operators for quantum groups and application to integrable systems*, J. Phys. A **35** (2002) 7929, `math.QA/0108207`.

[16] A. Liguori, M. Mintchev and L. Zhao, *Boundary exchange algebras and scattering on the half-line*, Commun. Math. Phys. **194** (1998) 569, `hep-th/9607085`.

[17] P. Bowcock, E. Corrigan and C. Zambon, *Classically integrable field theories with defects*, `hep-th/0305022`.

[18] E. K. Sklyanin, *Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems*, J. Phys. **A21** (1988) 2375.

[19] M. Mintchev, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, *Spontaneous symmetry breaking in the gl(N)-NLS hierarchy on the half line*, J. Phys. **A34** (2001) 8345, `hep-th/0104079`.